

Return on Investment – Leadership Development

Dr Charles du Toit



One of the enduring challenges facing leadership development is proving its return on investment.

Finance professionals are rightly expected to ensure that organisational resources are invested wisely. They are accountable for the stewardship of company assets and naturally ask an important question: What is the return?

The difficulty is that leadership development seldom produces immediate, measurable outcomes. Unlike a new machine, a software system, or an additional sales representative, the impact of leadership often unfolds over years rather than months. This can make leadership development appear difficult to justify using traditional financial measures.

I was reminded of this recently while facilitating a leadership programme for a client.

The group consisted of eight middle managers from a highly specialised profession. Every participant had invested at least six to eight years in post-school education and professional qualification. These were experienced professionals whose skills were valuable, portable, and in demand.

During a discussion on organisational culture, an interesting pattern emerged.

The company I was working with operates in the same industry as a neighbouring organisation. The neighbouring company is larger, more profitable, possesses greater assets, and employs significantly more people. By most traditional measures, it would appear to be the stronger organisation.

Yet as the conversation unfolded, I discovered that six of the eight participants in the programme had previously worked for that larger competitor.

Naturally, I asked why they had left.

The answer was remarkably consistent.

They had chosen to join their current employer because of the leadership culture.

Not because of the salary.

Not because of the size of the organisation.

Not because of better facilities or greater resources.

They moved because they believed they would experience better leadership, healthier relationships, and a more positive working environment.

At that moment, the return on investment in leadership development became crystal clear.

The organisation had succeeded in attracting scarce, highly qualified professionals away from a larger and wealthier competitor. *Leadership and culture had become a source of competitive advantage.*

This was not the first time I had witnessed this phenomenon. Throughout my career, I have seen organisations compete successfully for talent not because they offered the highest salaries or the largest facilities, but because they offered a better culture.

In some cases, the effect is visible even before people join the organisation. I have worked with organisations that struggled to attract graduates despite offering excellent career opportunities. The challenge was not the work itself but the reputation of the culture. Graduates spoke to previous graduates. Employees spoke to former colleagues. Stories were shared, perceptions were formed, and decisions were made long before applications were submitted.

Conversely, I have seen organisations become employers of choice because former employees and programme participants became ambassadors for the experience they enjoyed while working there.

- People talk.
- Employees talk.
- Graduates talk.
- Professionals within the same industry certainly talk.

Leadership culture develops a reputation long before it appears in an annual report.

The contrast between the two organisations in my recent experience was revealing. The competitor represented what many would recognise as a legacy Second Industrial Revolution management philosophy.

Performance was driven relentlessly. Management was demanding, uncompromising, and heavily task focused. While the organisation was commercially successful, it appeared less successful at creating an environment in which talented professionals wanted to build long-term careers.

The organisation I was working with had chosen a different path. It had invested heavily in leadership development, relationships, trust, communication, and culture. The return was not immediately visible on a balance sheet, but it was visible in the choices people made. Talented professionals were voting with their feet.

Jim Collins, in *Good to Great*, famously argued that great organisations first “get the right people on the bus, the wrong people off the bus, and the right people in the right seats.” Only then do they determine where to drive the bus.

What struck me about this experience was that leadership development was helping the organisation attract exactly the kind of people Collins described. The company had become a destination employer for highly qualified professionals despite competing against organisations with greater resources and stronger financial positions.

Simon Sinek makes a similar distinction when he contrasts short-term thinking with playing the long game. Organisations that focus exclusively on immediate results often sacrifice the very conditions required for long-term success. Organisations that invest in trust, leadership, culture, and people may not always produce the quickest returns, but they create environments that endure.

This is perhaps where traditional return-on-investment calculations fall short.

Leadership development is not simply about improving next month's productivity figures or this quarter's sales results. Strong leadership creates trust. Trust creates engagement. Engagement improves retention. Retention preserves institutional knowledge, strengthens culture, reduces recruitment costs, and attracts other talented people.

The financial benefits are real, even if they are not immediately visible.

Perhaps the most significant return on investment in leadership development is not found in a spreadsheet at all. It is found in the organisation's ability to attract, retain, and inspire talented people over time.

When highly qualified professionals willingly leave a larger, wealthier competitor to join your organisation because of its leadership culture, the return on investment becomes very real indeed.

Leadership development is therefore not simply a training intervention. It is a long-term investment in organisational sustainability, talent attraction, talent retention, and competitive advantage.

The returns may take years to emerge fully, but when they do, they are often profound.